

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Directorate General Joint Research Centre

Institute for Energy - HFR Unit

20 December 2007

D(07)5909

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

HFR / 07 / 4827


**HFR-EU1:
Irradiation Progress Report**

Cycles 06-08, 06-09, 06-10, 07-01

Project n° 337.1

rev. B

Alain Marmier, Michael Fütterer, Mathias Laurie

	For the authors	Verified by	Approved by Unit Head
Name	A. Marmier	E. D'Agata	R. May
Date	20.12.07	20.12.07	20/12/2007
Signature			

Distribution List

JRC-IE	NRG
R. May E. D'Agata M. A. Fütterer M. Laurie R. Moss A. Marmier G. Berg	H. G. Thesingh P. J. M. Thijssen F. J. Wijtsma
JRC-IE Electronic Archive – 1 copy	
HTR-F Fuel Database – 1 copy	
HTR-F/F1 Partners • V. Basini CEA Cadarache, France • W. von Lensa Forschungszentrum Jülich, Germany • E. Toscano JRC-ITU, Germany • T. Abram Nexia Solutions, United Kingdom • P. Guillermier AREVA NP, France • K. Bakker NRG, The Netherlands	
Tsinghua University, Beijing, China Institute for Nuclear Energy Technology (INET) • Chunhe Tang	

Revision	Date	Description
A	19.03.07	initial release
B	20.12.07	correction of data acquisition and graphs, updated neutronic calculations available
C		

Abstract

The HFR-EU1 irradiation in the HFR Petten is performed in cooperation between the European FP5 project consortium HTR-F/F1 and the Institute of Nuclear Energy Technology (INET) of the Tsinghua University in Beijing, China.

The experiment consists in irradiating 3 German AVR pebbles and 2 INET pebbles to very high burn-up with fission gas release measurements. After irradiation, the pebbles will undergo post-irradiation examination and safety testing in the KÜFA facility at JRC.ITU.

This is the first progress report on this experiment combining the results of all first four irradiation cycles performed so far.

The irradiation had started on 30 September 2006.

This document contains the history of the irradiation including significant special events, nuclear data as well as temperature and fission gas release measurements.

In the previous version of this document, some preliminary attempts were made to analyze and interpret the information obtained so far. Now, with updated neutronic calculations [1], a deeper analyse of results is feasible.

Further data is available to INET and the HTR-F/F1 partners on request.

Table of Contents

1	Irradiation History	5
1.1	Special events	5
1.2	Modifications of installation	6
2	Nuclear Data	6
2.1	Fluxes, fluences and other data cycle 06-08	6
2.2	Fluxes, fluences and other data cycle 06-09	7
2.3	Fluxes, fluences and other data cycle 06-10	8
2.4	Fluxes, fluences and other data cycle 07-01	9
2.5	Analysis of nuclear data	10
3	Reactor Power and Control Rod Positions	13
4	Vertical Displacement Unit (VDU) Position	15
5	Counter Readings in Gas Panel (SPI.1-Nal and SPI.2-Nal)	17
6	Temperature Measurements	19
6.1	Temperatures during cycle 06-08	22
6.2	Temperatures during cycle 06-09	29
6.3	Temperatures during cycle 06-10	36
6.4	Temperatures during cycle 07-01	43
7	Temperature Compensation	50
8	Fission Gas Release Measurements	52
8.1	Evaluation of release	53
8.2	Evaluation of R/B	55
8.3	ln(R/B) vs. ln λ plots	56
8.4	Interpretation of ln(R/B) vs ln λ plots	61
8.5	Nal and Gamma spectrometry correlation	62
9	References	65
10	List of Tables	65
11	List of Figures	66

1 Irradiation History

30.09.06 17:10 start cycle 06-08 1 scrams and 3 gas samples taken per capsule 29.10.06 09:00 end cycle 06-08
02.11.06 4:40 start cycle 06-09 2 scram and 3 gas samples taken per capsule 29.11.06 08:00 end cycle 06-09
2.12.06 18:40 start cycle 06-10 No scram and 3 gas samples taken per capsule 31.12.06 08:00 end cycle 06-10
3.01.07 06:40 start cycle 07-01 No scram but power perturbation from 14:20 to 17:10 on the 15th of January 3 gas samples taken per capsule 31.01.07 08:00 end cycle 07-01

1.1 Special events

After the first start of the experiment, it was initially foreseen to keep it for one week at the lowest possible temperature to remove humidity from the sample holder. A long scram (06.10.06 20:10 to 11.10.06 4:50) prolonged this situation until 16.10.06 12:30. Unlike HFR-EU1bis, for which initial temperatures (meaning temperatures while purging containments with pure Helium at BOI) were matching the target conditions, HFR-EU1 had much lower initial temperatures due to two phenomena:

- Steel emissivity was not implemented in the thermal calculation model,
- HFR conversion from HEU to LEU caused a local thermal flux decrease.

To reach nominal temperatures, significant Ne fractions had to be used quite early thus decreasing the margin for burn-up compensation that would be required later in the irradiation.

Due to the HFR buckling and the high position of the Chinese capsule, the expected initial fission power of the highest INET pebble was also decreased. Therefore, the temperatures of the INET pebbles were very different and could not both reach the target values. To compensate this situation, it was decided in agreement with INET to increase the nominal temperature of the lower INET pebble to 940°C (instead of 900°C).

1.2 Modifications of installation

After three reactor cycles, a significant burn-up was reached leading to fission power depletion that could not be compensated anymore by increasing the Ne fraction in the gas. Therefore, from the 4th cycle, the experiment moved from position H2 to H4 where the thermal flux is approx. 15% higher.

2 Nuclear Data

The information in this section is extracted from post-cycle nuclear calculations of the HFR core.

2.1 Fluxes, fluences and other data cycle 06-08

Cycle 06-08, position H2, **orientation North**, data from [3]

	Flux Middle of Cycle [$10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	Flux Cycle Averaged [$10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	Fluence [10^{24} m^{-2}]
$\Phi_1^{1)}$ Fast	0.404	0.409	0.856
$\Phi_2^{1)}$	0.602	0.612	1.281
$\Phi_3^{1)}$	0.59	0.602	1.260
$\Phi_4^{1)}$ Thermal	0.685	0.697	1.459
$\Phi_{E > 1 \text{ MeV}}$		0.359	0.752
$\Phi_{E > 0.1 \text{ MeV}}$		0.777	1.627
Φ_{Co}		0.614	1.285
Φ_{Fe}		0.378	0.791
Φ_{Ni}		0.388	0.812

¹⁾energy groups with boundaries: $0.82 \times 10^6 - 0.00553 \times 10^6 - 0.625 - 0 \text{ eV}$

Table 1: Neutron fluxes and fluences cycle 06-09

full power days	24.23
dpa (stainless steel)	0.11
gamma heating in small graphite samples [W/g]	1.19 middle of cycle

Table 2: Nuclear characteristics for cycle 06-08

2.2 Fluxes, fluences and other data cycle 06-09

Cycle 06-09, position H2, **orientation South**, data from [4]

	Flux Middle of Cycle [$10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	Flux Cycle Averaged [$10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	Fluence [10^{24} m^{-2}]
Φ_1 ¹⁾ Fast	0.416	0.412	0.957
Φ_2 ¹⁾	0.62	0.615	1.428
Φ_3 ¹⁾	0.608	0.603	1.400
Φ_4 ¹⁾ Thermal	0.696	0.691	1.605
$\Phi_{E > 1 \text{ MeV}}$		0.391	0.908
$\Phi_{E > 0.1 \text{ MeV}}$		0.782	1.816
Φ_{Co}		0.609	1.414
Φ_{Fe}		0.381	0.885
Φ_{Ni}		0.39	0.906

¹⁾energy groups with boundaries: $0.82 \times 10^6 - 0.00553 \times 10^6 - 0.625 - 0 \text{ eV}$

Table 3: Neutron fluxes and fluences cycle 06-09

full power days	26.88
dpa (stainless steel)	0.124
gamma heating in small graphite samples [W/g]	1.21 middle of cycle

Table 4: Nuclear characteristics for cycle 06-09

2.3 Fluxes, fluences and other data cycle 06-10

Cycle 06-10, position H2, **orientation North**, data from [5]

	Flux Middle of Cycle [$10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	Flux Cycle Averaged [$10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]	Fluence [10^{24} m^{-2}]
$\Phi_1^{1)}$ Fast	0.432	0.443	1.093
$\Phi_2^{1)}$	0.643	0.645	1.592
$\Phi_3^{1)}$	0.63	0.632	1.560
$\Phi_4^{1)}$ Thermal	0.727	0.73	1.801
$\Phi_{E > 1 \text{ MeV}}$		0.38	0.938
$\Phi_{E > 0.1 \text{ MeV}}$		0.821	2.026
Φ_{Co}		0.643	1.587
Φ_{Fe}		0.4	0.987
Φ_{Ni}		0.41	1.012

¹⁾energy groups with boundaries: $0.82 \times 10^6 - 0.00553 \times 10^6 - 0.625 - 0 \text{ eV}$

Table 5: Neutron fluxes and fluences cycle 06-10

full power days	28.56
dpa (stainless steel)	0.137
gamma heating in small graphite samples [W/g]	1.24 middle of cycle

Table 6: Nuclear characteristics for cycle 06-10

2.4 Fluxes, fluences and other data cycle 07-01

Cycle 06-10, position H4, **orientation South**, data from [5]

	Flux Middle of Cycle [$10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$]	Flux Cycle Averaged [$10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$]	Fluence [10^{24} m^{-2}]
Φ_1 ¹⁾ Fast	0.695	0.695	1.674
Φ_2 ¹⁾	0.926	0.927	2.233
Φ_3 ¹⁾	0.872	0.873	2.103
Φ_4 ¹⁾ Thermal	0.863	0.866	2.086
$\Phi_{E > 1 \text{ MeV}}$		0.612	1.474
$\Phi_{E > 0.1 \text{ MeV}}$		1.266	3.050
Φ_{Co}		0.778	1.874
Φ_{Fe}		0.661	1.592
Φ_{Ni}		0.677	1.631

¹⁾energy groups with boundaries: $0.82 \times 10^6 - 0.00553 \times 10^6 - 0.625 - 0 \text{ eV}$

Table 7: Neutron fluxes and fluences cycle 07-01

full power days	27.88
dpa (stainless steel)	0.213
gamma heating in small graphite samples [W/g]	2.07 middle of cycle

Table 8: Nuclear characteristics for cycle 07-01


2.5 Analysis of nuclear data

From Table 1 through Table 8 the following can be concluded:

Cycle	efpd	$\Phi_1^{1)}$	$\Phi_2^{1)}$	$\Phi_3^{1)}$	$\Phi_4^{1)}$	Fluence ₄	Fluence $E > 0.1$ MeV
	[days]	Cycle Averaged [$10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$]				[10^{24} m^{-2}]	
2006-08	24.23	0.409	0.612	0.602	0.697	1.459	1.627
2006-09	26.88	0.412	0.615	0.603	0.691	1.605	1.816
2006-10	28.56	0.443	0.645	0.632	0.73	1.801	2.026
2007-01	27.88	0.695	0.927	0.873	0.866	2.086	3.050
variation between 06-08 and 06-10	15.16%	41.15%	33.98%	31.04%	20.21%	30.05%	46.66%


¹⁾energy groups with boundaries: $0.82 \times 10^6 - 0.00553 \times 10^6 - 0.625 - 0$ eV

Table 9: Comparison of fluxes and fluences



Energy groups with boundaries: $0.82 \times 10^6 - 0.00553 \times 10^6 - 0.625 - 0 \text{ eV}$

Figure 1: Comparison of neutron fluxes per reactor cycle



Energy groups with boundaries: $0.82 \times 10^6 - 0.00553 \times 10^6 - 0.625 - 0 \text{ eV}$

Figure 2: Comparison of neutron fluxes per energy group




Figure 3: Comparison of fast fluences ($E > 0.1$ MeV) per reactor cycle




Figure 4: Incremental increase in fast (upper curve) and thermal fluence per reactor cycle

3 Reactor Power and Control Rod Positions




Figure 5: Reactor Power/Control Rod position cycle 06-08




Figure 6: Reactor Power/Control Rod position cycle 06-09




Figure 7: Reactor Power/Control Rod position cycle 06-10




Figure 8: Reactor Power/Control Rod position cycle 07-01

4 Vertical Displacement Unit (VDU) Position

The VDU was not used since the beginning of the irradiation and was held in the lowest possible position. The very small measured differences are artifacts related to the data acquisition system.




Figure 9: VDU position cycle 06-08




Figure 10: VDU position cycle 06-09




Figure 11: VDU position cycle 06-10




Figure 12: VDU position cycle 07-01

5 Counter Readings in Gas Panel (SPI.1-Nal and SPI.2-Nal)




Figure 13: Nal readings cycle 06-08




Figure 14: Nal readings cycle 06-09




Figure 15: Nal readings cycle 06-10




Figure 16: Nal readings cycle 07-01

6 Temperature Measurements

After the first 4 cycles, 3 of the 34 installed thermocouples provided wrong information. These thermocouples are all situated in high temperature areas between two pebbles.

Location	TC #	
Top Graphite INET	1, 2	
INET.1	3, 4, 5, 6	
Graphite between INET.1 and INET.2	7, 8	TC-08 some erratic values since cycle 06-09
INET.2	9, 10, 11, 12	
Bottom Graphite INET	13, 14	
Top Graphite AVR	15 , 16	TC-15 erroneous values since 10.11.2006 TC-16 some erratic values in cycle 06-09
AVR.1	17, 18, 19, 20	
Graphite between AVR.1 and AVR.2	21, 22	TC-21 showed hysteresis in cycle 06-10 TC-22 some erratic values since cycle 06-08 Both went completely down in cycle 07-01
AVR.2	23, 24, 25, 26	
Graphite between AVR.2 and AVR.3	27, 28	
AVR.3	29, 30, 31, 32	
Bottom Graphite AVR	33, 34	

Table 10: Location of thermocouples

Malfunction is indicated in **bold red**

TC nr	axial position [mm]	radial position [mm]	Cycle 06-08	Cycle 06-09	Cycle 06-10	Cycle 07-01	Cycle 06-08	Cycle 06-09	Cycle 06-10	Cycle 07-01
			1	2	3	4				
1	236.24	5	803.7	836.6	823.4	866.0				
2	236.24	5	804.2	835.9	825.0	862.8				
3	228.19	25	797.6	832.2	816.5	857.1				
4	228.19	25	801.2	833.7	820.8	849.7				
5	228.19	25	803.1	832.7	823.9	846.5				
6	228.19	25	799.3	828.9	815.1	850.7				
7	172.81	5	905.4	926.3	936.8	947.9				
8	172.81	5	905.2	911.6	933.9	943.4	TC8 occasional drop-outs			TC8 occasional drop-outs
9	159.46	25	906.5	924.6	935.0	943.2				
10	159.46	25	907.7	924.6	934.5	940.1				
11	159.46	25	892.6	919.1	918.4	933.6				
12	159.46	25	896.6	923.5	924.4	943.1				
13	102.31	5	900.3	919.4	925.3	962.5				
14	102.31	5	894.4	918.7	914.6	961.5				
15	35.15	5	962.7	324.9	195.7	0.6	TC15 failed on 10.11.2006			
16	35.15	5	961.4	938.9	953.8	960.0				
17	-21.60	25	948.4	911.6	944.2	926.6				
18	-21.60	25	943.6	936.3	936.8	945.0				
19	-21.60	25	954.0	951.6	945.8	949.8				
20	-21.60	25	936.5	904.2	934.5	892.8				
21	-35.15	5	963.4	936.9	859.2	132.5		shows hysteresis		failed permanently
22	-35.15	5	962.5	953.2	962.3	236.5				failed permanently
23	-91.22	25	973.1	955.0	954.8	950.7				
24	-91.22	25	981.8	966.2	963.6	974.1				
25	-91.22	25	959.5	944.8	953.0	946.5				
26	-91.22	25	961.8	931.4	949.8	911.1				
27	-104.87	5	980.4	961.2	972.5	969.0				
28	-104.87	5	988.0	971.9	977.1	980.0				
29	-160.24	25	966.4	942.6	931.0	941.3				
30	-160.24	25	969.7	930.0	936.9	924.8				
31	-160.24	25	963.9	934.1	938.3	919.4				
32	-160.24	25	960.9	944.1	928.1	936.7				
33	-168.49	5	969.9	945.9	944.7	957.7				
34	-168.49	5	972.5	942.5	950.4	954.2				

Table 11: Average temperatures and history of thermocouple behavior

In Table 11, the behavior of all 34 thermocouples was analyzed to obtain an idea about the reliability of the obtained data. The magenta lines indicate temperature measurements at pebble surfaces. Yellow boxes indicate suspicious behavior of the concerned thermocouple and thus potentially unreliable data.




Figure 17: Average temperatures in irradiation rig for all 4 irradiation cycles




Figure 18: Evolution of average temperatures during irradiation

Thermocouples 1 to 14 rose with time to compensate the experiment wrong design (i.e. the HFR buckling leads to a lower fission rate for INET 1. This required an increase of the initial capsule temperature set point from 900°C to 940°C by gas mixture modification).

6.1 Temperatures during cycle 06-08




Figure 19: Temperatures pebble INET.1 (TC-03, TC-04, TC-05, TC-06), cycle 06-08




Figure 20: Temperatures pebble INET.2 (TC-09, TC-10, TC-11, TC-12), cycle 06-08




Figure 21: Temperatures pebble AVR.1 (TC-17, TC-18, TC-19, TC-20), cycle 06-08




Figure 22: Temperatures pebble AVR.2 (TC-23, TC-24, TC-25, TC-26), cycle 06-08




Figure 23: Temperatures pebble AVR.3 (TC-29, TC-30, TC-31, TC-32), cycle 06-08




Figure 24: Temperatures top graphite INET capsule (TC-01, TC-02), cycle 06-08




Figure 25: Temperatures graphite between INET.1 and INET.2 (TC-07, TC-08), cycle 06-08




Figure 26: Temperatures bottom graphite INET Capsule (TC-13, TC-14), cycle 06-08




Figure 27: Temperatures top graphite AVR capsule (TC-15, TC-16), cycle 06-08




Figure 28: Temperatures graphite between AVR.1 and AVR.2 (TC-21, TC-22), cycle 06-08




Figure 29: Temperatures graphite between AVR.2 and AVR.3 (TC-27, TC-28), cycle 06-08




Figure 30: Temperatures bottom graphite (TC-33, TC-34), cycle 06-08

TC number	Average temperature during full power operation [°C]	Average temperature during high temperature operation [°C]	Target temperature [°C]	Location
TC-01	655.9	803.7		Graphite
TC-02	656.3	804.2		
TC-03	649.5	797.6		INET 1
TC-04	651.9	801.2		
TC-05	652.7	803.1		
TC-06	649.8	799.3		
TC-07	726.2	905.4		Graphite
TC-08	728.0	905.2		
TC-09	727.2	906.5	900	INET 2
TC-10	732.1	907.7		
TC-11	717.6	892.6		
TC-12	717.1	896.6		
TC-13	732.8	900.3		Graphite
TC-14	726.3	894.4		
TC-15	836.2	962.7		Graphite
TC-16	836.6	961.4		
TC-17	819.9	948.4	950	AVR 1
TC-18	817.8	943.6		
TC-19	830.5	954.0		
TC-20	813.8	936.5		
TC-21	839.8	963.4		Graphite
TC-22	840.0	962.5		
TC-23	845.8	973.1		AVR 2
TC-24	852.3	981.8		
TC-25	827.6	959.5		
TC-26	833.8	961.8		
TC-27	851.7	980.4		Graphite
TC-28	859.4	988.0		
TC-29	841.6	966.4		AVR 3
TC-30	844.6	969.7		
TC-31	840.0	963.9		
TC-32	838.0	960.9		
TC-33	847.1	969.9		Graphite
TC-34	849.4	972.5		

Table 12: Time averaged temperature readings during cycle 06-08

6.2 Temperatures during cycle 06-09




Figure 31: Temperatures pebble INET.1 (TC-03, TC-04, TC-05, TC-06), cycle 06-09




Figure 32: Temperatures pebble INET.2 (TC-09, TC-10, TC-11, TC-12), cycle 06-09




Figure 33: Temperatures pebble AVR.1 (TC-17, TC-18, TC-19, TC-20), cycle 06-09




Figure 34: Temperatures pebble AVR.2 (TC-23, TC-24, TC-25, TC-26), cycle 06-09




Figure 35: Temperatures pebble AVR.3 (TC-29, TC-30, TC-31, TC-32), cycle 06-09




Figure 36: Temperatures top graphite INET capsule (TC-01, TC-02), cycle 06-09




Figure 37: Temperatures graphite between INET.1 and INET.2 (TC-07, TC-08), cycle 06-09




Figure 38: Temperatures bottom graphite INET Capsule (TC-13, TC-14), cycle 06-09




Figure 39: Temperatures top graphite AVR capsule (TC-15, TC-16), cycle 06-09




Figure 40: Temperatures graphite between AVR.1 and AVR.2 (TC-21, TC-22), cycle 06-09




Figure 41: Temperatures graphite between AVR.2 and AVR.3 (TC-27, TC-28), cycle 06-09




Figure 42: Temperatures bottom graphite (TC-33, TC-34), cycle 06-09

TC number	Average temperature during full power operation [°C]	Average temperature during high temperature operation [°C]	Target temperature [°C]	Location
TC-01	828.2	836.6		Graphite
TC-02	827.5	835.9		
TC-03	823.6	832.2		
TC-04	825.1	833.7		
TC-05	824.2	832.7		
TC-06	820.5	828.9		
TC-07	916.2	926.3		Graphite
TC-08	901.1	911.6	900 ⇒ 945 (09/11/06)	INET 2
TC-09	914.4	924.6		
TC-10	914.6	924.6		
TC-11	909.0	919.1		
TC-12	913.1	923.5		
TC-13	909.8	919.4		Graphite
TC-14	908.9	918.7		Graphite
TC-15	319.7	324.9		
TC-16	932.7	938.9		AVR 1
TC-17	905.4	911.6	950	
TC-18	930.0	936.3		
TC-19	945.2	951.6		
TC-20	898.0	904.2		
TC-21	930.7	936.9		Graphite
TC-22	947.0	953.2		AVR 2
TC-23	948.6	955.0		
TC-24	959.7	966.2		
TC-25	938.2	944.8		
TC-26	925.1	931.4		
TC-27	954.8	961.2		Graphite
TC-28	965.4	971.9		AVR 3
TC-29	936.1	942.6		
TC-30	923.5	930.0		
TC-31	927.5	934.1		
TC-32	937.5	944.1		
TC-33	939.4	945.9		Graphite
TC-34	936.0	942.5		

Table 13: Time averaged temperature readings during cycle 06-09
 Malfunction is indicated in **bold red**

6.3 Temperatures during cycle 06-10




Figure 43: Temperatures pebble INET.1 (TC-03, TC-04, TC-05, TC-06), cycle 06-10




Figure 44: Temperatures pebble INET.2 (TC-09, TC-10, TC-11, TC-12), cycle 06-10




Figure 45: Temperatures pebble AVR.1 (TC-17, TC-18, TC-19, TC-20), cycle 06-10




Figure 46: Temperatures pebble AVR.2 (TC-23, TC-24, TC-25, TC-26), cycle 06-10




Figure 47: Temperatures pebble AVR.3 (TC-29, TC-30, TC-31, TC-32), cycle 06-10




Figure 48: Temperatures top graphite INET capsule (TC-01, TC-02), cycle 06-10




Figure 49: Temperatures graphite between INET.1 and INET.2 (TC-07, TC-08), cycle 06-10




Figure 50: Temperatures bottom graphite INET Capsule (TC-13, TC-14), cycle 06-10




Figure 51: Temperatures top graphite AVR capsule (TC-15, TC-16), cycle 06-10




Figure 52: Temperatures graphite between AVR.1 and AVR.2 (TC-21, TC-22), cycle 06-10




Figure 53: Temperatures graphite between AVR.2 and AVR.3 (TC-27, TC-28), cycle 06-10




Figure 54: Temperatures bottom graphite (TC-33, TC-34), cycle 06-10

TC number	Average temperature during full power operation [°C]	Average temperature during high temperature operation [°C]	Target temperature [°C]	Location
TC-01	823.0	823.4		Graphite
TC-02	824.6	825.0		
TC-03	816.1	816.5		INET 1
TC-04	820.3	820.8		
TC-05	823.5	823.9		
TC-06	814.7	815.1		
TC-07	936.3	936.8		Graphite
TC-08	932.8	933.9	940	INET 2
TC-09	934.5	935.0		
TC-10	934.0	934.5		
TC-11	917.9	918.4		
TC-12	923.9	924.4		Graphite
TC-13	924.8	925.3		
TC-14	914.2	914.6		Graphite
TC-15	192.2	195.7		
TC-16	952.9	953.8		AVR 1
TC-17	942.7	944.2	950	
TC-18	935.0	936.8		
TC-19	943.9	945.8		
TC-20	932.9	934.5		Graphite
TC-21	867.3	859.2		
TC-22	960.3	962.3		AVR 2
TC-23	952.0	954.8		
TC-24	960.8	963.6		
TC-25	950.0	953.0		
TC-26	946.3	949.8		Graphite
TC-27	969.3	972.5		
TC-28	973.7	977.1		AVR 3
TC-29	927.8	931.0		
TC-30	933.4	936.9		
TC-31	934.6	938.3		
TC-32	924.8	928.1		Graphite
TC-33	941.3	944.7		
TC-34	947.0	950.4		

Table 14: Time averaged temperature readings during cycle 06-10
 Malfunction is indicated in **bold red**

6.4 Temperatures during cycle 07-01




Figure 55: Temperatures pebble INET.1 (TC-03, TC-04, TC-05, TC-06), cycle 07-01




Figure 56: Temperatures pebble INET.2 (TC-09, TC-10, TC-11, TC-12), cycle 07-01




Figure 57: Temperatures pebble AVR.1 (TC-17, TC-18, TC-19, TC-20), cycle 07-01




Figure 58: Temperatures pebble AVR.2 (TC-23, TC-24, TC-25, TC-26), cycle 07-01




Figure 59: Temperatures pebble AVR.3 (TC-29, TC-30, TC-31, TC-32), cycle 07-01




Figure 60: Temperatures top graphite INET capsule (TC-01, TC-02), cycle 07-01




Figure 61: Temperatures graphite between INET.1 and INET.2 (TC-07, TC-08), cycle 07-01




Figure 62: Temperatures bottom graphite INET Capsule (TC-13, TC-14), cycle 07-01




Figure 63: Temperatures top graphite AVR capsule (TC-15, TC-16), cycle 07-01




Figure 64: Temperatures graphite between AVR.1 and AVR.2 (TC-21, TC-22), cycle 07-01




Figure 65: Temperatures graphite between AVR.2 and AVR.3 (TC-27, TC-28), cycle 07-01




Figure 66: Temperatures bottom graphite (TC-33, TC-34), cycle 07-01

TC number	Average temperature during full power operation [°C]	Average temperature during high temperature operation [°C]	Target temperature [°C]	Location
TC-01	859.9	866.0		Graphite
TC-02	856.8	862.8		
TC-03	850.9	857.1		INET 1
TC-04	843.7	849.7		
TC-05	840.5	846.5		
TC-06	844.4	850.7		
TC-07	941.1	947.9		Graphite
TC-08	936.7	943.4		
TC-09	936.1	943.2	940	INET 2
TC-10	933.3	940.1		
TC-11	926.7	933.6		
TC-12	935.8	943.1		
TC-13	955.9	962.5		Graphite
TC-14	954.8	961.5		
TC-15	0.6	0.6		Graphite
TC-16	957.8	960.0		
TC-17	924.1	926.6	950	AVR 1
TC-18	942.5	945.0		
TC-19	947.5	949.8		
TC-20	890.5	892.8		
TC-21	129.8	132.5		Graphite
TC-22	230.7	236.5		
TC-23	948.0	950.7		AVR 2
TC-24	971.5	974.1		
TC-25	943.9	946.5		
TC-26	908.4	911.1		
TC-27	966.4	969.0		Graphite
TC-28	977.4	980.0		
TC-29	938.6	941.3		AVR 3
TC-30	922.0	924.8		
TC-31	916.5	919.4		
TC-32	933.9	936.7		
TC-33	954.9	957.7		Graphite
TC-34	951.4	954.2		

Table 15: Time averaged temperature readings during cycle 07-01
 Malfunction is indicated in **bold red**

7 Temperature Compensation

The experiment comprises 34 thermocouples connected in the rig-head to copper wires for data transmission to the temperature control unit and the data acquisition system of the HFR. These joints (Nisil/Nicrosil to copper wires) induce new thermocouples that might be influenced by temperature changes next to the plug and thus modify thermocouples values. As a matter of fact, three valves for capsule insulation are present in the rig-head close to the plug. They develop enough power to heat the thermocouple plug by approx. 30 K and could thus modify the readings. To avoid such systematic errors (that might vary with time depending on the use of the magnetic valves) three thermocouples were placed on the connector and two in the rig-head to compensate the readings.




Figure 67: Reference thermocouples for temperatures compensation cycle 06-08




Figure 68: Reference thermocouples for temperatures compensation cycle 06-09




Figure 69: Reference thermocouples for temperatures compensation cycle 06-10




Figure 70: Reference thermocouples for temperatures compensation cycle 07-01

8 Fission Gas Release Measurements

In HFR-EU1 the fission gas release was measured according to the following procedure:

A continuous gas flow (50 ml/min, calibrated at 20°C) was maintained throughout the cycles and the He/Ne mixture was adjusted to attain the target temperatures of the reference thermocouples. The gas sampling procedure is summarized below:

1. Check if the selected capsule temperature remained constant over a significant time (minimum one hour).
2. Isolate manually the selected capsule by closing GA-MV1 or GA-MV2 and GA-MV13 and switch the gas flow through the filter station by closing SP I.X-MV5 and opening SP I.X-MV6 to avoid perturbation in the capsule.
3. Read GA-Pt1, the reference temperature, the gas mixture content and the sampling time and note these data.
4. Manually remove the tank from the glove box and replace it by another one for the following gas sample.
5. Open GA-MV1 or GA-MV2, depending on which capsule will be sampled and GA-MV13, switch the flow of the capsule to be purged from the filter station to the gas analysis glove box and proceed to the gamma spectrometry measurements of the sample taken.

8.1 Evaluation of release

Figure 71 and Figure 72 display release vs. time for the two capsules.




Figure 71: Release measurement vs. date for INET pebbles




Figure 72: Release measurement vs. date for AVR pebbles

Note the activity peaks in Figure 71 and Figure 72. These five measurements were made with the same sampling container which proved to be contaminated and which will not be used any longer. Figure 73 and Figure 74 present the same results, without measurement taken with this gab sample.




Figure 73: Purged release measurement vs. date for INET pebbles




Figure 74: Purged release measurement vs. date for AVR pebbles

8.2 Evaluation of R/B

A good indication of particle health is the fraction between fission gas release rate (R) and fission gas birth rate (B) as a function of accumulated burn-up.




Figure 75: R/B vs. burnup for INET pebbles




Figure 76: R/B vs. burnup for AVR pebbles

8.3 $\ln(R/B)$ vs. $\ln \lambda$ plots

To assess the quality of measurements, the Booth model [6] was applied: For particles surrounded by coatings, the following apply:

$$n = \frac{\partial \ln\left(\frac{R}{B}\right)}{\partial \ln\left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right)} \text{ leading to } \ln \frac{R}{B} = \text{const}_1 + n \ln \frac{D}{\lambda * a^2} \text{ with:}$$

$\frac{R}{B}$ release over birth
 λ decay constant
 $\frac{D}{a^2}$ reduced diffusion coefficient

In case of steady-state measurements, mainly temperature stability, $\frac{D}{a^2}$ remains constant.

$$\ln \frac{R}{B} = \text{const}_2 - n \ln \lambda$$

From the measured concentration of 3 Kr and 4 Xe isotopes (statistical error 4 - 10% for Kr-85m, Kr-87, Kr-88 and Xe-135, up to 20% for Xe-133 and 20 - 30% for Xe-135m and Xe-138), the gas pressure and the He flow-rate, the release over birth ratio R/B can be determined: The birth rate B is calculated using the nominal number of fissions on the date of sampling.

The burn-up is the one pre-calculated for the experiment [1]. Later adjustments will be made once the analysis of the burn-up measurements of the pebbles, the gamma scan wires and the fluence detectors are available.

Due to the HEU/LEU conversion of the HFR and the move of the experiment to another position, the value B had to be recalculated. This prevented us, in the first version of this report, from presenting release over birth vs burn-up results. Thus, a method was developed to daily evaluate the quality of the R/B measurement (steady-state R/B):

$$\ln \frac{R}{B} = \ln \frac{R}{\text{fission_rate} * \text{yield}} = \ln \frac{R}{\text{yield}} - \ln \text{fission_rate} \text{ leading to } \ln \frac{R}{\text{yield}} = \text{const}_3 - n \ln \lambda$$

Considering $\ln \frac{R}{\text{yield}} = \text{const}_3 - n \ln \lambda$ instead of $\ln \frac{R}{B} = \text{const}_2 - n \ln \lambda$ for a fixed (even unknown) fission rate did not affect the inclination (characteristic for the measurement quality).

Since updated neutronic calculations [1] are now available, the method described previously will only be used for quality assurance. Figure 77 to Figure 84 display the more conventional $\ln R/B$ vs. $\ln \lambda$, for both capsules and both groups of isotopes over the first four reactor cycles. Concerning Xenon isotopes, linear regressions are based on only two isotopes: Xe-133 and Xe-135. This can be explained by noticing that Xe-135m and Xe-138 are not always detected by gamma-spectrometry and anyhow with a larger statistical error than for Xe-133 and Xe-135.




Figure 77: $\ln R/B$ vs. $\ln \lambda_{\text{eff}}$ plots for Krypton of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-08




Figure 78: $\ln R/B$ vs. $\ln \lambda_{\text{eff}}$ plots for Xenon of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-08




Figure 79: $\ln R/B$ vs. $\ln \lambda_{\text{eff}}$ plots for Krypton of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-09




Figure 80: $\ln R/B$ vs. $\ln \lambda_{\text{eff}}$ plots for Xenon of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-09




Figure 81: $\ln R/B$ vs. $\ln \lambda_{\text{eff}}$ plots for Krypton of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-10






Figure 82: $\ln R/B$ vs. $\ln \lambda_{\text{eff}}$ plots for Xenon of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-10

Figure 83: $\ln R/B$ vs. $\ln \lambda_{\text{eff}}$ plots for Krypton of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 07-01Figure 84: $\ln R/B$ vs. $\ln \lambda_{\text{eff}}$ plots for Xenon of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 07-01

8.4 Interpretation of $\ln(R/B)$ vs $\ln\lambda$ plots

According to the method described in section 8.3, in case of stable measurements (no temperature dependence), Krypton isotopes should display straight lines. This method was also investigated for Xenon isotopes.

Table 16 summarizes averages and variances for all consistent slope values calculated previously.

	Average	σ
HFR-EU1 INET - Kr	0.637	6.28%
HFR-EU1 INET - Xe	0.579	8.91%
HFR-EU1 AVR - Kr	0.604	8.85%
HFR-EU1 AVR - Xe	0.669	11.25%

Table 16: Average and variance of slopes for HFR-EU1 capsules

Figure 85 and Figure 86 display the evolution of the slope through time for each capsule and groups.




Figure 85: Evolution of the slope through time for INET pebbles




Figure 86: Evolution of the slope through time for AVR pebbles

8.5 NaI and Gamma spectrometry correlation

The Sweep Loop Facility was designed to perform online gas measurements. Therefore all first containment lines were foreseen with NaI scintillation detectors. These detectors were configured on the 196 keV peak of Kr-88 with the minimum bandwidth of 20 keV.

Figure 87 and Figure 88 display activity measurements performed with NaI and gamma spectrometry. Both figures underline the difficult correlation between both processes.

This can be partly explained by two phenomena:

- The values measured by the NaI detectors are still rather low. The detectors are placed in the HFR basement and were influenced by background noise. This is why the lead shielding of the instruments was improved.
- The selected peak being below 440 keV, NaI are sensitive to Ne-23 [8]. This could be evidenced by activity measurements of He/Ne mixtures from the 2nd containment which is irradiated but not in contact with the fuel. Increasing the total flow while keeping the mixture proportion would lead to an activity increase on the concerned NaI.

Figure 89 and Figure 90 evidence the latter phenomenon, leading to the conclusion that NaI detectors in the current configuration are of little use for gas release measurements.

This situation will be improved for the next cycles by the following measures:

- select a new region of interest for the calibration of the NaI
- implementation of a HPGe detectors




Figure 87: Nal and Gamma spectrometer measurements correlation for INET pebbles




Figure 88: Nal and Gamma spectrometer measurements correlation for AVR pebbles




Figure 89: Correlation of NaI and Neon content in gas mixture for INET pebbles




Figure 90: Correlation of NaI and Neon content in gas mixture for AVR pebbles

9 References

- [1] van der Marck, S., HFR-EU1 (337-01) Nuclear Analysis, 2.2068/07.83318/C, Oct. 2007
- [2] Hendriks, J.A., Post Cycle Report, Cycle 200608, NRG technical report. 25138/07.77897/l, 09 February 2007
- [3] Hendriks, J.A., Post Cycle Report, Cycle 200609, NRG technical report. 25138/07.77898/l, 09 February 2007
- [4] Hendriks, J.A., Post Cycle Report, Cycle 200610, NRG technical report. 25138/07.81353/l, 09 February 2007
- [5] Hendriks, J.A., Post Cycle Report, Cycle 200701, NRG technical report. 25138/07.81354/l, 14 February 2007
- [6] Fuel performance and fission product behaviour in gas cooled reactors, IAEATECDOC-978, ISSN 1011-4289, November 1997.
- [7] Marmier, A., HFR-EU1bis irradiation: Refined Monte-Carlo Neutronics Calculations, Technical Memorandum, HFR / 04 / 4786, 8 April 2005.
- [8] E-Mail from Gérard Ducros, CEA of 7 April 2006.

10 List of Tables

Table 1: Neutron fluxes and fluences cycle 06-09	6
Table 2: Nuclear characteristics for cycle 06-08	6
Table 3: Neutron fluxes and fluences cycle 06-09	7
Table 4: Nuclear characteristics for cycle 06-09	7
Table 5: Neutron fluxes and fluences cycle 06-10	8
Table 6: Nuclear characteristics for cycle 06-10	8
Table 7: Neutron fluxes and fluences cycle 07-01	9
Table 8: Nuclear characteristics for cycle 07-01	9
Table 9: Comparison of fluxes and fluences	10
Table 10: Location of thermocouples	19
Table 11: Average temperatures and history of thermocouple behavior	20
Table 12: Time averaged temperature readings during cycle 06-08	28
Table 13: Time averaged temperature readings during cycle 06-09	35
Table 14: Time averaged temperature readings during cycle 06-10	42
Table 15: Time averaged temperature readings during cycle 07-01	49
Table 16: Average and variance of slopes for HFR-EU1 capsules	61

11 List of Figures

Figure 1: Comparison of neutron fluxes per reactor cycle.....	11
Figure 2: Comparison of neutron fluxes per energy group.....	11
Figure 3: Comparison of fast fluences ($E > 0.1$ MeV) per reactor cycle.....	12
Figure 4: Incremental increase in fast (upper curve) and thermal fluence per reactor cycle ...	12
Figure 5: Reactor Power/Control Rod position cycle 06-08	13
Figure 6: Reactor Power/Control Rod position cycle 06-09	13
Figure 7: Reactor Power/Control Rod position cycle 06-10	14
Figure 8: Reactor Power/Control Rod position cycle 07-01	14
Figure 9: VDU position cycle 06-08	15
Figure 10: VDU position cycle 06-09	15
Figure 11: VDU position cycle 06-10	16
Figure 12: VDU position cycle 07-01	16
Figure 13: NaI readings cycle 06-08.....	17
Figure 14: NaI readings cycle 06-09.....	17
Figure 15: NaI readings cycle 06-10.....	18
Figure 16: NaI readings cycle 07-01	18
Figure 17: Average temperatures in irradiation rig for all 4 irradiation cycles.....	21
Figure 18: Evolution of average temperatures during irradiation	21
Figure 19: Temperatures pebble INET.1 (TC-03, TC-04, TC-05, TC-06), cycle 06-08	22
Figure 20: Temperatures pebble INET.2 (TC-09, TC-10, TC-11, TC-12), cycle 06-08	22
Figure 21: Temperatures pebble AVR.1 (TC-17, TC-18, TC-19, TC-20), cycle 06-08	23
Figure 22: Temperatures pebble AVR.2 (TC-23, TC-24, TC-25, TC-26), cycle 06-08	23
Figure 23: Temperatures pebble AVR.3 (TC-29, TC-30, TC-31, TC-32), cycle 06-08	24
Figure 24: Temperatures top graphite INET capsule (TC-01, TC-02), cycle 06-08.....	24
Figure 25: Temperatures graphite between INET.1 and INET.2 (TC-07, TC-08), cycle 06-08	25
Figure 26: Temperatures bottom graphite INET Capsule (TC-13, TC-14), cycle 06-08.....	25
Figure 27: Temperatures top graphite AVR capsule (TC-15, TC-16), cycle 06-08	26
Figure 28: Temperatures graphite between AVR.1 and AVR.2 (TC-21, TC-22), cycle 06-08.	26
Figure 29: Temperatures graphite between AVR.2 and AVR.3 (TC-27, TC-28), cycle 06-08.	27
Figure 30: Temperatures bottom graphite (TC-33, TC-34), cycle 06-08	27
Figure 31: Temperatures pebble INET.1 (TC-03, TC-04, TC-05, TC-06), cycle 06-09	29

Figure 32: Temperatures pebble INET.2 (TC-09, TC-10, TC-11, TC-12), cycle 06-09	29
Figure 33: Temperatures pebble AVR.1 (TC-17, TC-18, TC-19, TC-20), cycle 06-09	30
Figure 34: Temperatures pebble AVR.2 (TC-23, TC-24, TC-25, TC-26), cycle 06-09	30
Figure 35: Temperatures pebble AVR.3 (TC-29, TC-30, TC-31, TC-32), cycle 06-09	31
Figure 36: Temperatures top graphite INET capsule (TC-01, TC-02), cycle 06-09.....	31
Figure 37: Temperatures graphite between INET.1 and INET.2 (TC-07, TC-08), cycle 06-09	32
Figure 38: Temperatures bottom graphite INET Capsule (TC-13, TC-14), cycle 06-09	32
Figure 39: Temperatures top graphite AVR capsule (TC-15, TC-16), cycle 06-09	33
Figure 40: Temperatures graphite between AVR.1 and AVR.2 (TC-21, TC-22), cycle 06-09	33
Figure 41: Temperatures graphite between AVR.2 and AVR.3 (TC-27, TC-28), cycle 06-09	34
Figure 42: Temperatures bottom graphite (TC-33, TC-34), cycle 06-09	34
Figure 43: Temperatures pebble INET.1 (TC-03, TC-04, TC-05, TC-06), cycle 06-10	36
Figure 44: Temperatures pebble INET.2 (TC-09, TC-10, TC-11, TC-12), cycle 06-10	36
Figure 45: Temperatures pebble AVR.1 (TC-17, TC-18, TC-19, TC-20), cycle 06-10	37
Figure 46: Temperatures pebble AVR.2 (TC-23, TC-24, TC-25, TC-26), cycle 06-10	37
Figure 47: Temperatures pebble AVR.3 (TC-29, TC-30, TC-31, TC-32), cycle 06-10	38
Figure 48: Temperatures top graphite INET capsule (TC-01, TC-02), cycle 06-10.....	38
Figure 49: Temperatures graphite between INET.1 and INET.2 (TC-07, TC-08), cycle 06-10	39
Figure 50: Temperatures bottom graphite INET Capsule (TC-13, TC-14), cycle 06-10.....	39
Figure 51: Temperatures top graphite AVR capsule (TC-15, TC-16), cycle 06-10	40
Figure 52: Temperatures graphite between AVR.1 and AVR.2 (TC-21, TC-22), cycle 06-10	40
Figure 53: Temperatures graphite between AVR.2 and AVR.3 (TC-27, TC-28), cycle 06-10	41
Figure 54: Temperatures bottom graphite (TC-33, TC-34), cycle 06-10	41
Figure 55: Temperatures pebble INET.1 (TC-03, TC-04, TC-05, TC-06), cycle 07-01	43
Figure 56: Temperatures pebble INET.2 (TC-09, TC-10, TC-11, TC-12), cycle 07-01	43
Figure 57: Temperatures pebble AVR.1 (TC-17, TC-18, TC-19, TC-20), cycle 07-01	44
Figure 58: Temperatures pebble AVR.2 (TC-23, TC-24, TC-25, TC-26), cycle 07-01	44
Figure 59: Temperatures pebble AVR.3 (TC-29, TC-30, TC-31, TC-32), cycle 07-01	45
Figure 60: Temperatures top graphite INET capsule (TC-01, TC-02), cycle 07-01.....	45
Figure 61: Temperatures graphite between INET.1 and INET.2 (TC-07, TC-08), cycle 07-01	46
Figure 62: Temperatures bottom graphite INET Capsule (TC-13, TC-14), cycle 07-01	46
Figure 63: Temperatures top graphite AVR capsule (TC-15, TC-16), cycle 07-01	47
Figure 64: Temperatures graphite between AVR.1 and AVR.2 (TC-21, TC-22), cycle 07-01	47

Figure 65: Temperatures graphite between AVR.2 and AVR.3 (TC-27, TC-28), cycle 07-01	48
Figure 66: Temperatures bottom graphite (TC-33, TC-34), cycle 07-01	48
Figure 67: Reference thermocouples for temperatures compensation cycle 06-08	50
Figure 68: Reference thermocouples for temperatures compensation cycle 06-09	51
Figure 69: Reference thermocouples for temperatures compensation cycle 06-10	51
Figure 70: Reference thermocouples for temperatures compensation cycle 07-01	52
Figure 71: Release measurement vs. date for INET pebbles	53
Figure 72: Release measurement vs. date for AVR pebbles	53
Figure 73: Purged release measurement vs. date for INET pebbles	54
Figure 74: Purged release measurement vs. date for AVR pebbles	54
Figure 75: R/B vs. burnup for INET pebbles	55
Figure 76: R/B vs. burnup for AVR pebbles	55
Figure 77: In R/B vs. In λ_{eff} plots for Krypton of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-08	57
Figure 78: In R/B vs. In λ_{eff} plots for Xenon of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-08	57
Figure 79: In R/B vs. In λ_{eff} plots for Krypton of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-09	58
Figure 80: In R/B vs. In λ_{eff} plots for Xenon of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-09	58
Figure 81: In R/B vs. In λ_{eff} plots for Krypton of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-10	59
Figure 82: In R/B vs. In λ_{eff} plots for Xenon of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 06-10	59
Figure 83: In R/B vs. In λ_{eff} plots for Krypton of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 07-01	60
Figure 84: In R/B vs. In λ_{eff} plots for Xenon of INET/AVR pebbles in cycle 07-01	60
Figure 85: Evolution of the slope through time for INET pebbles	61
Figure 86: Evolution of the slope through time for AVR pebbles	62
Figure 87: NaI and Gamma spectrometer measurements correlation for INET pebbles	63
Figure 88: NaI and Gamma spectrometer measurements correlation for AVR pebbles	63
Figure 89: Correlation of NaI and Neon content in gas mixture for INET pebbles	64
Figure 90: Correlation of NaI and Neon content in gas mixture for AVR pebbles	64